

## Research article

Erdan Ye\*, Uldanay Jumabay<sup>1</sup>

# Conditional clauses in Old Uyghur translations of Chinese Buddhist texts

<https://doi.org/10.33063/os.v74.605>

**Abstract:** In the Old Uyghur language, conditional clauses are primarily encoded by the conditional mood marker *-sAr*, which does not convey explicit temporal meaning. As the Old Uyghur language evolved, a variety of conditional forms began to be used in conditional clauses, along with tense and mood markers in main clauses, to convey real, hypothetical, and counterfactual meanings. In the Old Uyghur translations of Chinese Buddhist texts, conditional clauses predominantly express realistic conditions, and the predicates of the main clauses are generally coded with the aorist suffix *-(V)r/-yUr*. The main clause is largely declarative, and it may also express a variety of moods through distinct syntactic devices. Furthermore, given that Chinese Buddhist texts are an important source for Old Uyghur Buddhist literature, certain features of Chinese conditional clauses have influenced Old Uyghur, resulting in adaptive code-switching driven by contact-induced factors. Therefore, this paper primarily focuses on examining the syntactic structures of conditional clauses, conducting semantic comparisons, and exploring the impact of linguistic contact between these two languages, with the aim of elucidating various manifestations of these contact effects at the syntactic level.

**Keywords:** conditional clauses, Old Uyghur, Buddhist Chinese, language contact

## 1 Introduction

Conditional clauses are considered to be a main type of adverbial clauses from a functional point of view. The traditional definition of conditional clauses is that the occurrence of the protasis (dependent clause) is the condition for the realization of the apodosis (main clause) (Kortmann 1997, Thompson, Longacre & Hwang 2007, Hetterle 2015). In general, conditional clauses are indicated by subordinating morphemes; for example, Buddhist Chinese uses 若 (*ruò*) ‘if’ to mark the condition and also employs some compound circumstructures such as 若...者 (*ruò ... zhě*) or 若...时 (*ruò ... shì*) ‘if’, while the sequential morpheme 则 (*zé*) ‘then’ appears in the apodosis. As for sentence structure, in languages such as Chinese and Turkic languages, conditional clauses generally precede the main clause, exhibiting a linear distribution. However, this does not mean that the sequence of the two clauses is fixed, nor does it imply that the components used to identify the clauses are mandatory.

In Old Uyghur, predicates of the conditional clauses are coded by the conditional mood marker *-sAr* to indicate realistic or unrealistic conditional meanings.<sup>1</sup> The conditional marker *-sAr* takes personal markers of the possessive type, signaling the first argument of the conditional clauses. Additionally, the aspect-tense meanings are reduced from the conditional clauses, so that such distinctions can be inferred from the independent predicates. See:

<sup>1</sup> It should be noted that Old Uyghur features a conditional sentence whose surface structure resembles a basic conditional construction but that conveys no actual condition. Erdal (2004) terms this *correlative relativization*. A comparable structure is also present in Buddhist Chinese, as will be discussed in detail in Section 5.

\* School of Humanities, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, E-mail: erden0203@yeah.net

<sup>1</sup> Department of Kazakh Language and Turkic Studies, Nazarbayev University, Astana, Kazakhstan

(1) DKPAM. U1049/07132-07134

**Bir[ök]** *tsuilug ämgäklig* *tinly-ag* *umugsuz inagsiz* *kil-ip* *ig-in-tä*  
 CONJ sinful and suffering being-ACC hopeless<sub>2</sub> do-CONV illness-POSS.3-LOC  
*ozgur-u* *u-ma-sar-m(ä)n,* *maña* *ymä* *tirig öz* *nägülük* *ol?*  
 save-CONV be.able-NEG-COND-1SG I.DAT also life what COP  
 ‘If I make (this) sinful and suffering being hopeless and I cannot save (him) from his illness, what good is life to me?’

Erdal (2004: 494–499) provides a comprehensive description of conditional clauses in Old Turkic languages and highlights specific characteristics of the conditional clauses in Old Uyghur. Our analysis will examine the structural and semantic features of conditional clauses in Old Uyghur, focusing in particular on the Old Uyghur literature translated from Chinese Buddhist texts.

To provide readers with a comprehensive overview of Old Uyghur conditional clauses, this study aims to analyze the syntactic structures and diversity of Old Uyghur conditional clauses by counting the frequency of three key parameters across different texts: predicates of the conditional clauses, conjunctions in conditional clauses, and sequential markers in the main clauses. The selected texts are Old Uyghur Buddhist texts translated from various original languages and dating from different historical periods.

In theory, different combinations of these parameters could exhibit the diversity of the surface syntactic structures of conditional clauses, based on the underlying logic of Old Uyghur conditional clause encoding (see Table 1). However, a parallel comparison with the original languages revealed a regular correspondence between the syntactic structures of the original Chinese conditional sentences and their Old Uyghur equivalents. Moreover, as a result of contact with Chinese, the use of markers in the main clause of Old Uyghur conditional clauses became more frequent, reflecting the double-marking strategy of Chinese complex sentence encoding, where both the dependent and main clauses use syntactic markers. This pattern was also found in adverbial clauses of concession and in conjunctive complex sentences.

Finally, the research has aimed to enhance the understanding of the Old Uyghur conditional clause system and its place in Turkic linguistic history through parallel comparative analysis of Chinese and Old Uyghur conditional clauses. This has focused on the following aspects: the possible origins of several atypical conjunctions and structures in Old Uyghur conditional complex sentences, and the impact of language contact on the historical development of Old Uyghur. The data in Table 1 originates from four texts. These were selected based on their original languages, translation periods, and different revisions of the same text. The four texts are as follows: (i) *Mait-H*, the Hami version of *Maitrisimit* translated from Tocharian (Geng 2008); (ii) *Säkiz Yükmäk*, the earliest Buddhist text translated from Chinese with four different revisions (Oda 2015); (iii) *Suv*, an extensive Buddhist text translated from Chinese (Kaya 1994, 2021); and (iv) *Guruyoga*, a Tantric Buddhist text translated from Tibetan (Kara & Zieme 1977).

This paper is organized as follows: the syntax of the conditional clauses is studied in Section 2, while the semantics of the conditional clauses are described in Section 3. The conjunctions used in conditional and main clauses are outlined in Section 4. The correlative relativization is pointed out in Section 5. The concessive clause is analyzed in Section 6. The focus of Section 7 is the language contact between Buddhist Chinese and Old Uyghur. Finally, Section 8 provides the conclusions.

2 A subscript 2 in the translations signifies *hendiadys* (Gr. *hen dia dyoin*, referring to a rhetorical word pair) in the Old Uyghur original.

**Table 1:** Coding of conditional and main clauses in various Old Uyghur Buddhist texts

Coding of conditional and main clauses		Mait-H	Säkiz Yükmäk			Suv. <sup>3</sup>	Guruyoga
			I.a <sup>4</sup>	I.b <sup>5</sup>	II.c <sup>6</sup>		
Predicates of the conditional clauses	-sAr	11	13	12	7	26	14
	ärsär					15	5
	bolsar					1	2
Conjunctions in conditional clauses	birök		2	2	3	37	
	birökčī <sup>7</sup>						2
	qačan	1				1	1
	qačan birök	1				1	
	qaltī birök					1	
	apam					2	
Sequential markers in the main clauses	temin ök	2					
	ötrü	3	1	1	6	55	
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>24</b>

## 2 The syntax of the conditional clauses

Typical Old Uyghur conditional clauses are encoded by the conditional mood marker -sAr or the conditional copula *ärsär*, added to nominals. The conditional mood does not indicate tense meaning, so the predicate of the protasis is unmarked for relative or absolute tense. To specify the time of the event referred to in the conditional clause, a combination of participles with *ärsär* can be employed. Meanwhile, to maintain the personal consistency of the subject in the clause, the clause assumes that different forms of person markers can appear after the conditional mood, as shown in the following example:

- (2) Mait-H. 10b/11-15 Geng 2008: pp. 340–341

arig-da simäk-dä är-ip burhan kuti-n bul-sar-mn, yana siz-lär-ni  
 forest<sub>2</sub>-LOC AUX-CONV Buddhahood-ACC obtain-COND-1SG again you-PL-ACC  
 istä-yü kör-gäli käl-gäy-[mn]. säkiz türlüg tüzün yol ay-u ber-gäy-mn.  
 seek-CONV see-CONV come-OPT-1SG Eightfold Noble Path tell-CONV give- OPT-1SG  
 ‘If I obtain Buddhahood in the forest, I will come again to seek and visit you. I will explain (to you) the Eightfold Noble Path.’

- 3 There are 144 cases of conditional clauses in the original Chinese text of *Sutra of Golden Light*. Among the corresponding translations in Old Uyghur, one case is mutilated, two are translated as declarative sentences, and one is translated as a causative clause. Furthermore, in one case, the temporal subordinator 時 (*shí*) ‘when’ is translated as a temporal compound converb -*mšDA*, which forms *birök -mšDA*, *ötrü...* together with the conditional conjunction *birök* and the main clause marker *ötrü*. If there is no Chinese counterpart, this structure in the Old Uyghur translation will easily lead to ambiguity, and it is difficult to render the conditional semantics, so we do not take this example into account here.
- 4 There are four different Chinese versions of *Säkiz Yükmäk*, some of which are complete and others incomplete. A total of 17 conditional clauses are found across these versions; however, the conditional clauses in version II.d are incomplete and therefore not included in this count.
- 5 There are 17 cases of conditional clauses in the original Chinese text, one of which is mutilated in the corresponding Old Uyghur translation and one that is translated as a declarative sentence.
- 6 There are a total of 17 cases of conditional clauses in the original Chinese text, and one translation is missing in the corresponding Old Uyghur translation.
- 7 The use of the conjunction *birökčī* is mostly found in Old Uyghur tantric (tantric Buddhism) literature, and the Chaghatay literature retains this pattern of conjunctive constructions, e.g. *garči*, *ägärči* (cf. Johanson 2021: 923).

The copula *bol-* can also combine with *-sAr* to form a conditional copula; however, *är-* is more commonly observed. Various types of conjunctions may optionally appear at the beginning of conditional clauses (cf. Erdal 2004: 496–497). The correspondence between these conjunctions and their Chinese counterparts will be discussed in Section 4.

The coding of conditional clauses in Old Uyghur Buddhist literature exhibits notable diversity, particularly in the increasing frequency of conjunctions in these clauses. The presence of these conjunctions makes the interpretation of conditional meaning more explicit at the syntactic level. Additionally, from a translational perspective, these conjunctions are effectively in one-to-one correspondence with their counterparts in the original Chinese text. These factors may have contributed to the growing use of conjunctions. Typical conjunctions found in conditional clauses include *birök* ‘if, however’, *qačan* ‘when, if’, and *qaltı* ‘for instance, if’, which can appear alone or in combination. However, since the expression of conditional meaning is primarily achieved by the conditional mood marker *-sAr*, these conjunctions must co-occur with it and cannot independently convey conditional meaning (see Johanson 2021: 918).

Another notable point is that sequential markers appearing at the beginning of the apodosis became more prominent, strengthening the connection between the dependent clause and the main clause. In earlier translations from Tocharian, *temin ök* was predominantly used, while in translations from Buddhist Chinese, *ötrü* ‘then, thereafter’ was adopted. These markers generally correspond to the Chinese main clause markers 则 (*zé*) and 即 (*jí*) ‘then’, and they gradually became standardized, likely under the influence of Chinese.

### 3 The semantics of the conditional clauses

In this paper, the semantic distinction of the conditional clauses is drawn between *reality* and *unreality* conditional clauses, a classification based on the work of Givón (1990) and Thompson, Longacre and Hwang (2007). The crucial distinction between the two types of clauses lies in whether the propositions expressed in the conditional clauses “have truth value”. In other words, if the event referred to in the protasis occurs, then the event in the apodosis will also occur. We therefore argue that propositions expressed in reality conditional clauses possess truth value. Unreality conditional clauses, however, do not have a truth value reading; they refer to “unreal” situations and are typically interpreted as counterfactual or imaginary events.

Reality conditionals can be classified into real present, habitual/generic, or past situations. In Old Uyghur, the conditional mood marker *-sAr* does not convey temporal meaning, and the expression of reality conditionals occurs in two ways: (i) in main clauses, where the aorist marker *-(V)r/-yUr*<sup>8</sup> denotes real present and habitual events; (ii) in conditional clauses, where the expression is formed by combining participles with a conditional copula. For instance, *-(V)r/-yUr ärsär* indicates real present and habitual events, while *-mİš ärsär* signifies past situations. For unreal conditions, the conditional clause typically employs *-dI ärsär*, with the main verb suffix *-dI* followed by *ärti* (Erdal 2004: 497). In contrast, Buddhist Chinese lacks distinct temporal distinctions, and the meaning of conditional sentences is contingent upon the context. Interestingly, Buddhist Chinese occasionally uses the volitional verb 欲 (*yù*) ‘to want’, ‘to require’ in conditional clauses to enhance the interpretation of conditionality, with the corresponding Old Uyghur form being *-AyIn tip küsä-* or *-GAlI küsä-*, as seen in example (3):

8 The aorist has a few variations, such as *-Ar*, *-Ir*, *-Ur*, *-yUr*, and *-r* depending on the stem endings. In this paper, we use the forms *-(V)r* and *-yUr* to refer to all the variations.

(3a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 3: 414b22-24

若欲生豪貴婆羅門種、刹帝利家，及轉輪王七寶具足，亦應懺悔，滅除業障。

*Ruò yù shēng háo guì pó luó mén zhǒng, chà dì lì jiā, jí zhuǎn lún wáng qī bǎo jù zú, yì yīng chàn huǐ, miè chú yè zhàng.*‘If one wishes to be born into a noble or wealthy Brahmin caste, a Kshatriya family, or to become *Cakravartī* monarch complete with the seven treasures, one must repent and eliminate karmic obstacles.’

(3b) OU-Suv. III. 8b. 142/3-11

Üstünki yeg uyüş-ta tüz-tä braman-lar uyüş-in-ta bāg-lār uyüş-in-ta  
 noble caste<sub>2</sub>-LOC Brahmin-PL caste-POSS.3-LOC nobleman-PL caste-POSS.3-LOC  
 tuy-ayīn ulatī yeti ārdini-kā tükällig čakiravart elig xan  
 be.born-VOL.1SG and seven treasure-DAT completeness *Cakravartī* king  
 bol-ayīn tep kūsā-sār ymä ök ökün-māk yalvar-maq-līy  
 become-VOL.1SG QUO want-COND.3SG also PTCL repent-VN beg-VN-DER  
 kšanti qıl-maq-līy ariy süzök sav üzä ayiḡ qilinčliḡ kkir-i-n tabča-si-n  
 confession do-VN-DER purity<sub>2</sub> word above sin stain<sub>2</sub>-POSS.3-ACC  
 arit-ī yu-miš arit-miš k(ä)rgäk.  
 eliminate-CONV clean<sub>2</sub>-PTCP necessity

‘If one wishes to be born into a noble Brahmin caste, a nobleman (*Kṣatriya*) caste, and to become *Cakravartī* monarch complete with the seven treasures, it is also necessary to eliminate and cleanse one’s stains of sin through purity, repentance, practice, and words.’

In context, depending on the speaker’s attitude, the main clause may express assertion, command, wishes, necessity, etc. The independent predicates can be encoded differently based on the semantics and pragmatics of the complex sentences: (i) the main predicates can be formed with the aorist *-(V)r/-yUr* to express a declaration or assertion; (ii) the main predicates can take the imperative or optative mood *-GAy* to convey an order, request or volition, corresponding to the modal verb 當 (*dāng*) ‘should’ and the light verb 令 (*lìng*) ‘to make’ in Buddhist Chinese; (iii) the main predicate is expressed through the nominal modal construction *-mīs k(ä)rgäk* to express necessitative, corresponding to the modal verb 應 (*yīng*) ‘must’ in Buddhist Chinese; (iv) the main predicates can take the interrogative particle *mu* to raise questions or interrogations, cf. (4)–(9).

Declarative:

(4a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 425a21-22

若法界是五蘊，即是斷見。

*Ruò fǎ jiè shì wǔ yùn, jí shì duàn jiàn.*

‘If the Dharma Realm is the five-skandhas, then it is the view of annihilation.’

(4b) OU-Suv. V. 15b. 374/1-5

*Birök* cīn kertü nom töz-i-n beš yapıq-lar oq är-ür  
 CONJ true<sub>2</sub> Dharma realm-POSS3-ACC five-skandhas-PL PTCL COP-AOR.3SG  
 tep te-sār üzül-māk atly körüm bol-ur.  
 QUO say-COND.3SG break-VN named view COP-AOR.3SG

‘If anyone says “The true Dharma Realm is the five-skandhas,” (then) it’s the view called annihilation.’

## Imperative:

- (5a) Chi-Vimala. T14, no. 475, 卷 3: 557b9-10

若後末世，有能受持讀誦、為他說者，當知皆是彌勒神力之所建立。

*Ruò hòu mò shì, yǒu néng shòu chí dú sòng, wèi tā shuō zhě, dāng zhī jiē shì mí lēi shén lì zhī suǒ jiàn lì.*

‘In future times, if there are those who are able to uphold, recite, and explain it to others, (they) should know that it is all established through the divine power of Maitreya.’

- (5b) OU-Vimala. U 1549 verso/18-24, Zieme 2000: p. 138

*[Birök kã]-mã-dök üd-tä [yalḡoq]-lar bo nom ärdin[i-g] tägin-sär*

CONJ come-NEG-PTCP time-LOC being-PL this sutra-jewel-ACC ATTAIN-COND.3SG

*tut-sär sözlä-sär adinagu-ka nomla-sär bo barča maitre-niḡ*

uphold-COND.3SG recite-COND.3SG others-DAT preach-COND.3SG this all Maitreya-GEN

*küü kälīg ädrämlig küč-i kösün-i ol tep bil-zünlär.*

supernatural virtue power<sub>2</sub>-POSS.3 COP QUO know-VOL.3PL

‘If, in future times, there are beings who attain this sutra-jewel, uphold it, recite it, and preach it to others, they should know that all of this is through the power of Maitreya’s supernatural force of virtue.’

## Optative:

- (6a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 6: 432c2-3

若於此經所有句義忘失之處，我皆令彼憶念不忘。

*Ruò yú cǐ jīng suǒ yǒu jù yì wàng shī zhī chù, wǒ jiē lìng bǐ yì niàn bù wàng.*

‘If anyone forgets the meaning of the sentences in this sutra, we will make them remember without forgetting.’

- (6b) OU-Suv. VI. 29b. 456/21-23 – 30a. 457/1-4

*Birök yänä bo nom ärdini iç-in-tä-ki kayu kayu padak-lar-ï*

CONJ and this sūtra treasure inside-POSS.3-LOC-REL any pādaka-PL-POSS3

*kayu kayu yörüg-lär-i unit-il-sär qal-sär biz*

any meaning-PL-POSS.3 forget-PASS-COND.3SG remain-COND.3SG we

*qamay-un ol nomči-niḡ köñül-iḡ-ä kir-ip ötün-tür-ü*

all-INS that preacher-GEN mind-POSS.2SG-DAT enter-CONV offer-CAUS-CONV

*saqin-tur-u tägin-ip unit-tur-u tägin-mä-gäy-biz.*

remember-CAUS-CONV AUX-CONV forget-CAUS-CONV AUX-NEG-OPT-1PL

‘And if any *pādaka* and meanings from this sutra are forgotten (by someone), we will enter that preacher’s memory to make him remember and not forget.’

## Voluntative:

- (7) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 425a13-14

若有疑惑者，隨汝意所問，吾當分別說。

*Ruò yǒu yí huò zhě, suí rǔ yì suǒ wèn, wú dāng fēn bié shuō.*

‘If you have any doubts, I will explain them individually according to your wishes.’

- (7b) OU-Suv. V. 14b. 372/20-23

*Bar är-sär birök sezik-iḡ köñül eyin tap-iḡ-ča*

existing COP-COND.3SG CONJ doubt-POSS.2SG mind through wish-POSS.2SG-EQU

*qorqinčsiz-in ayit-qıl<sup>9</sup> anı äšit-ip m(ä)n saña adirtla-yu ber-äyin.*  
 unafraid-INS say-PTCL that.ACC hear-CONV I you.DAT individually-CONV give-VOL.1SG  
 ‘If (you) have (any doubts), please feel free to speak them according to your wishes. Once I hear them, I will explain them to you individually.’

Necessitative:

(8a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 3: 415b5–7

若有女人，願轉女身為男子者，亦應修習隨喜功德。

*Ruò yǒu nǚ rén, yuàn zhuǎn nǚ shēn wèi nán zǐ zhě, yì yīng xiū xí suí xǐ gōng dé.*

‘If a virtuous woman wishes to transform her female body into a male one, she should also cultivate (this) merit of rejoicing.’

(8b) OU-Suv. III. 16a. 157/7–12

*Kayu uzun tonluy tınly-lar tiši ät’öz-in är-ip*  
 any long robe-wearing being-PL female body-INS COP-CONV  
*ärkäk ät’öz-in bol-ayın tep küsä-sär ymä bo oq*  
 man body-INS COP-VOL.1SG QUO pray-COND.3SG also this PTCL  
*eyin ögirmäklig yeg ögrätig-dä<sup>10</sup> ögrät-in-miš k(ä)rgäk.*  
 through with-pleasure good practice-LOC learn-REF-PTCP necessary

‘If any long robe-wearing being has a female body, (and) wishes to transform it into a male body, she should also cultivate this merit of rejoicing.’

Interrogative:

(9a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 425a25–26

若從因緣生者，為已生故生？為未生故生？

*Ruò cóng yīn yuán shēng zhě, wèi yǐ shēng gù shēng? Wèi wèi shēng gù shēng?*

‘If it is born from causes and effects, is it born because it has already been born, or is it born because it has not been born yet?’

(9b) OU-Suv. V. 15b. 374/16–18

*Birök avant-lar-tin tıltay-lar-tin<sup>11</sup> tuy-quça är-sär azu tuy-a*  
 CONJ cause-PL-ABL conditional-PL-ABL be.born-PTCP COP-COND.3SG or be.born-CONV  
*tükät-mış ücün mü tuy-qay azu taqı<sup>12</sup> tuy-ma-yoq ücün mü tuy-qay.*  
 finish-PTCP for Q be.born-OPT.3SG or and be.born-NEG-PTCP for Q be.born-OPT.3SG  
 ‘If it is born from causes and conditions, is it born either because it has already been born, or (is it born) because it has not yet been born?’

9 According to Li et al. (2003: 307–309), *qıl* is a morphological marker of the second person singular imperative. However, Erdal (2014:350) claims that “the particle *gll* is commonly added to the 2nd person singular imperative and is used for emphasis. It is always spelled together with the verb form.” Moreover, it has no categorical meaning and is optional. In this paper, we treat it as a particle.

10 The whole phrase *eyin ögirmäklig yeg ögrätig* is a direct counterpart of the Chinese Buddhist term 隨喜功德 (*suíxǐ gōngdé*). The Chinese Buddhist term 隨喜 (*suíxǐ*) means “when one person prays or blesses, another believer hears it and feels pleasure in his heart.” There is no direct equivalent in the Old Uyghur, so the phrase *eyin ögirmäklig yeg ögrätig* is used; i.e., *eyin* is a postposition meaning ‘through, according to’; *ögirmäklig* ‘joy’; and *yeg ögrätig* ‘merit and virtue’. The Sanskrit equivalent of *eyin ögirmäklig* is *Skt. anumodanā*. In the Digital Dictionary of Buddhism (ddb), the English translation of 隨喜功德 (*suíxǐ gōngdé*) is the “merit of rejoicing in the progress of others”; see <http://www.buddhism-dict.net/ddb>.

11 The term *avant tıltay* corresponds to Chinese Buddhist term 因緣 (*yīnyuán*) ‘causes and conditions’.

12 The alternative Structure *azu... azu taqı...* is conjunctive combination that is equivalent to the Chinese 為..., 為... (*wéi... wéi...*) ‘either...or...’ form.

## 4 Conjunctions in conditional clauses

### 4.1 The use of *birök* in conditional clauses

In the Old Uyghur language, the use of conjunctions in conditional clause is not obligatory, as the expression of conditional semantics is primarily indicated by the conditional mood marker *-sAr*. However, throughout the historical development of Old Uyghur, there has been a gradual increase in the use of conjunctions within conditional clauses. This trend is accompanied by a more complex morphosyntactic structure of the conditional clauses, which exhibits clear analytic features. The most representative of these conditional conjunction is *birök* ‘when, if, even if’ (Wilkins 2021: 180). The marking of main clauses has also shown a tendency to stabilize over time.

Regarding the conditional conjunction *birök*, Erdal (2004: 496) argues that it is specific to the Old Uyghur literature, appearing not only in Buddhist texts, but in several cases also in Christian texts. Scholars have not reached a consensus on its etymological origin. Gabain (1950: 417) proposes that it is a compound of *bir* ‘one’ and the emphatic particle *ök*. In Buddhist texts, *birök* corresponds to the Chinese conditional conjunction 若 (*ruò*) ‘seem like, you, if, regarding’ (Li Wang et al. 2016). According to Pulleyblank (1991: 270), the reconstructed phonetic form of 若 (*ruò*) ‘if’ is */\*riak/* in Late Middle Chinese and */\*niak/* in Early Middle Chinese, which is phonetically surprisingly similar to Old Uyghur *birök*. Furthermore, textual comparisons indicate that the use of *birök* developed in parallel with the historical evolution of Old Uyghur. For instance, in *Säkiz Yükmäk* (BT XXIII), the translators increasingly used *birök* in successive revisions of the text. Nonetheless, this does not negate the fact that the core element for expressing conditional semantics remains the conditional mood marker *-sAr*. See the following example:

(10b) Chi-Säkiz Yükmäk T85, no. 2897, 1422c19–20

若有眾生信邪倒見，即被邪魔外道、魑魅魍魎、鳥鳴百怪、諸惡鬼神競來惱亂。

*Ruò yǒu zhòng shēng xìn xié dào jiàn, jí bèi xié mó wài dào, chī mèi wǎng liǎng, niǎo míng bǎi guài, zhū è guǐ shén jìng lái nǎo luàn.*

‘If any sentient being holds false and distorted views, they will be tormented and harassed by evil spirits and demons, monsters and weird creatures, birds chirping, and all kinds of evil ghosts and deities.’

(10b) OU-Säkiz Yükmäk IIc/ 56–61, Oda 2015: pp. 128–130

*Birök* *kayu* *tinly* *biligsiz* *ayıy* *qilinčliy* *är-ip* *trs* *tätrü*  
 CONJ any being misguided bad behavior COP-CONV reverse false  
*törö-kä* *kertgünč* *kertgün-sär* *ötrü* *tükäl* *törlüg* *ayıy* *qilinčliy* *šmnu-lar*  
 view-DAT insist.on-COND.3SG then all kind evil behavior-Māra-PL  
*trs nomluy* *tirte-lär* *oñžin-lar* *yäk-lär* *yelpik-lär* *quš quşyun*<sup>13</sup> *qoburya*  
 anti-dharma heretic-PL demon-PL demon-PL carrion-eating-PL bird hawk  
*ulatı* *yvlaq* *blgölüg* *qorqinčiy* *ünlüg* *quş-lar* *tükäl* *törlüg* *yvlaq* *irü* *blgü-lär*  
 and terrible looking horrific voiced bird-PL all kind bad phenomena<sub>2</sub>-PL  
*alqu* *äv barq-ta* *közün-ü* *käl-ip* *örlä-t-ir.*  
 all house<sub>2</sub>-LOC appear-CONV come-CONV rise-CAUS-AOR.3SG

‘If anyone exhibits erratic and misguided behavior and firmly believes in heretical teachings, then all kinds of Māras with evil behaviors, heretics, demons, carrion-eating birds, terrible-looking birds with frightful voices and all kinds of phenomena will come and appear in all houses and will torment them.’

13 *Quş quşyun* refers to birds that eat rotten meat.



- (11a) Chi-AKKANB. X63, no. 1220, 9c12

若自心清淨，則一切佛土皆悉清淨。

*Ruò zì xīn qīng jìng, zé yī qiè fú tǔ jiē xī qīng jìng.*

‘If one’s (the bodhisattva’s) own mind is pure, then all Buddha-lands are entirely pure.’

- (11b) OU- AKKANB. U 4627/G085-086, Yakup 2010: p. 242

*Birök* öz köñülüg arit-sar ötrü alku tīnly-lar ymä arī-yur.

CONJ own mind purify.CAUS-COND.3SG then whole living being-PL also clean-AOR.3SG

‘If (the bodhisattva) purifies his own mind, then the living beings will also be clean.’

The early version of *Säkiz Yükmäk* (1a. and 1b.) already contains the conjunction *birök*, albeit only in a single sentence. Later, as various editions were revised, it becomes evident from the texts that later Old Uyghur translators incorporated *birök* into their translations. Furthermore, the use of conjunctions gradually increased in the translated texts Mait-H and DKPAM, which were derived from the original Tocharian texts. In particular, the conjunction takes the form of *birök-in* <*birök*-INS>, as exemplified in (12). In order to compare the use of *birök* and *birökin* in the Old Uyghur texts originating from languages other than Chinese, two examples translated from Tocharian, (12) and (13), are provided. The presence of *birök* in these sentences may reflect the translators’ preferences or linguistic strategies. This trend suggests that the use of *birök* not only became more common, but also evolved in terms of the forms it took, reflecting the dynamic nature of language development in the Old Uyghur Buddhist texts.

- (12) Mait-H. 15a/21–24, Geng 2008: p. 84

*Birök-in* bo oyul äv-dä barq-ta är-sär yeti ärdni-(kä) tükällig

CONJ-INS this son house<sub>2</sub>-LOC COP-COND.3SG seven jewel-DAT whole

tört yertinčü yer suv-da<sup>14</sup> ärklig čkrwrty elig xan bol-ğay.

four world earth water-LOC powerful Cakravartin king become-OPT.3SG

‘If this son is at home, he will possess the seven jewels and become the powerful *Cakravartin* in all four worlds.’

- (13) DKPAM. U 1035 + U 1032/ 01600–01602

*Birök* ölüti tīnly rorap tamu-da tuy-sar, bo muntay

CONJ murderer being raurava-hell-LOC be.born-COND.3SG this this.EQU

ačy ämgäk-lär-i-n bil-miš uq-miš k(ä)rgäk.

sour suffering-PL-POSS.3-ACC understand<sub>2</sub>-PTCP necessary

‘If a murderer is born in *raurava* hell, (then) he must understand this severe suffering of his.’

It is observed that the use of these conjunctions in conditional clauses seems to have emerged as the dominant grammatical structure. Notably, in *Suv*, a circumstructure consisting of the conjunction *birök* and the conditional mood marker *-sAr* occurs slightly more often than the use of the conditional marker *-sAr* alone (see Table 1). This observation suggests that there is an increasing tendency to use such a compound structure to express conditions, reflecting the evaluation of grammatical patterns in Old Uyghur. Simultaneously, the use of conjunctions in main clauses gradually increases and stabilizes in conditional clauses. Regarding the sequential markers used in the main clauses, there is a gradual shift from the coexistence of *temin* (*ök*) and *ötrü* to the exclusive use of *ötrü*. Moreover, revisions of texts from various historical periods reveal that Old Uyghur translators adopted these mark-

14 The phrase *yertinčü yer suv* is a translation of the Old Uyghur word for ‘world’, which mainly denotes the physical world.

ers in main clauses. It is noteworthy that by the time of the Old Uyghur version of the *Suv*, the main clause marker exclusively used the sequential adverb *ötrü*. cf. (14) – (16)<sup>15</sup>.

- (14) Mait-H. 8a/ 21–23, Geng 2008: p. 28

*Kayu üdün säkiz törlüg arıy tözün yol-uy tap-sar-lar*  
 any time eight kind pure virtuous path-ACC find-COND-3PL  
*temin ök bo munça törlüg ämgäk-tin oz-ar-lar.*  
 immediately this this.EQU kind suffering-ABL be.free-AOR-3PL  
 ‘If they find the eightfold path of pure virtue at any time, they will immediately be free from these sufferings.’

- (15) DKPAM. Mainz 678/ 01440–01442

*[Qaça]n birök ölümlüg madar ayz-ï-n [a]ç-ïp yakın*  
 CONJ CONJ death monster mouth-POSS.3-ACC open-CONV close  
*käl-sär ol üdün temin [bo] busuşluy [ä]mgäk-in ögsirä-yürlär.*  
 come-COND.3SG that time immediately (this) sorrowful suffering-INS faint-AOR.3PL  
 ‘If the mouth of the death monster opens and (gradually) approaches, they will immediately faint from sorrowful suffering.’

- (16a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 6: 428a25-26

若供養我，則是供養過去、未來、現在百千俱胝那庾多佛。  
*Ruò gōng yǎng wǒ, zé shì gōng yǎng guò qù, wèi lái, xiàn zài bǎi qiān jù zhī nà yǔ duō fú.*  
 ‘If one has made offerings to me, it is as if one were making (them) to countless Buddhas of the past, future, and present.’

- (16b) OU-Suv. VI. 6a.413/21-23 – 6b. 414/1-2

*Birök maṇa tapīy uduy ayır ayay qıl-mış bol-sar ötrü üç üd-ki*  
 CONJ I.DAT reverence deep homage do-PTCP COP-COND.3SG then three periods-REL  
*burxan-lar-qa tapīy uduy ayır ayay qıl-mış bol-yay-lar.*  
 Buddha-PL-DAT reverence<sub>2</sub> deep homage do-PTCP COP-OPT-3PL  
 ‘If one has shown great and respectful reverence to me, it is as if one has shown great and respectful reverence to the Buddhas of the three periods.’

## 4.2 The use of *qačan* and *qaltı* in conditional clauses

Apart from *birök*, the conditional conjunctions of Old Uyghur can also include *qačan* ‘when’ and *qaltı* ‘how’. In Old Uyghur, the interrogative *qačan* ‘when’<sup>16</sup> is primarily used to introduce temporal clauses with compound converbs, such as *-mİş-DA* [PTCP-LOC], and it can also mark conditional clauses with the conditional mood marker *-sAr*. From a cross-linguistic perspective, the distinction between time and conditionality can be neutralized, allowing them to be expressed by the same morphosyntactic operators (see Cristofaro 2005; Jumabay 2022). This cross-linguistic tendency is also evident in Chinese, particularly in Buddhist Chinese. Some scholars argue that the post-conditional particle 時 (*shí*) ‘when’, in the structure 若...時 (*ruò...shí*) ‘if’ (lit. if...when) in Buddhist Chinese, developed through

<sup>15</sup> These three examples are taken from the Mait-H, DKPAM, and Suv., respectively, the first two being translated from the Tocharian language. The main idea is to illustrate the variation in *temin* (*ök*) and *ötrü* when translated from different languages.

<sup>16</sup> Erdal notes (2005: 215) that *qačan* is an indefinite element expressing the meaning ‘at some point in time’ rather than an interrogative. It often introduces temporal clauses together with the conditional marker *-sAr*.

an internal grammaticalization process (see Cao 1996; Dong 2000; Jiang 2002), while others maintain that it resulted from contact with Sanskrit during the translation of Buddhist literature (see Jiang 2011: 150-152; Li 2021, 2023). They have pointed out that, in the Chinese translations of Buddhist scriptures from the medieval period, 時 (*shí*) had already become grammaticalized as a postposition used to introduce temporal adverbial clauses. Simultaneously, it also appears in the structure 若...時 (*ruò...shí*) ‘if’ and is used by translators to correspond to the use of *locative absolute* or *genitive absolute* to indicate a condition (for something to occur) in the Sanskrit text.

As shown in the Old Uyghur literature, the temporal interrogative *qačan*, combined with the conditional mood marker *-sAr* had already existed in Old Uyghur Buddhist texts. However, they express time and conditionality in a somewhat ambiguous way (see Erdal 2004: 480). Sometimes, *qačan* also appears with *birök*. The use of *qačan birök... -sAr* or *qačan... -sAr* in Old Uyghur translations often corresponds to typical Chinese conditional constructions, such as 若... (*ruò*) ‘if’ and 若...時 (*ruò...shí*) ‘if...when’. For this Chinese structure, Old Uyghur translators took into account the translation of 時 (*shí*). The Old Uyghur translators clearly understood the different syntactic functions of 時 (*shí*) in Chinese Buddhist literature and ultimately chose to use the temporal interrogative *qačan*, which has a more flexible syntactic position, to correspond to it. This also provided a syntactic environment for the further grammaticalization of *qačan*. A parallel comparison of the original Chinese texts and the Old Uyghur translations shows that many conditional clauses introduced by 時 (*shí*) were translated by the Old Uyghur translators as standard conditional constructions, such as example (16). It should be noted that, although *qačan birök* occurs in the Old Uyghur texts of Tocharian origin, it is not used as frequently as in texts of Chinese origin. We have reason to believe that by the time *qačan* began to independently introduce conditional clauses, it had already evolved from an interrogative into a conditional conjunction (see examples 17–20).

- (17a) Chi-Vimala. T14, no. 475, 卷 3: 555b10

日光出時，即無眾冥。

*Rì guāng chū shí, jí wú zhòng míng.*

‘If sunlight appears, then all darkness disappears.’

- (17b) OU-Vimala U 1934 verso/ 03-06, Zieme 2000: p. 130

*Kün t̃yri [yaruk-ī tuy-sar] alku [karang]u kararig-lar[-ig tark]ar-ur.*

sun god light-POSS.3 be.born-COND.3SG all darkness<sub>2</sub>-PL-ACC dispel-AOR.3SG

‘If the light of the sun god appears, then all darkness will be dispelled.’

- (18) Mait-H. 15a/ 24-27, Geng 2008: p. 84

**Qačan birök** äv-ig barq-iy qod-up dentar iš-i-n

CONJ CONJ household<sub>2</sub>-ACC abandon-CONV religious affair-POSS.3-ACC

**išlā-sär** tüzgärinčsiz yeg burxan qut-ī-n bul-yay.

do-COND.3SG unfathomable best Buddhahood-POSS.3-ACC gain-OPT.3SG

‘If he leaves the household to pursue religious affairs, then he will gain the unfathomably best Buddhahood.’

- (19a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 426c5-6

若無是經，隨處隱沒。

*Ruò wú shì jīng, suí chù yīn méi.*

‘If there were no such sūtra, (the truth and wisdom) would remain hidden everywhere.’

(19b) OU-Suv. V. 26a. 395/24 – 396/1-2

**Qačan birök** *bo nom ärdini yitlin-sär yoqad-sar ötrü*  
 CONJ CONJ this sutra jewel perish, disappear<sub>2</sub>-COND.3SG then  
*adın nomluy ärdini-lär ymä barča orun orun sayu yitlin-gäy yoqad-qaylar.*  
 other sutra jewel-PL also all place each perish, disappear<sub>2</sub>-OPT.3PL  
 ‘If this sūtra jewel were to disappear, then all the other sūtra jewels would also disappear, in each and every place.’

(20a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 6: 428a17

若人命終，多生天上，增益天眾。

*Ruò rén mìng zhōng, duō shēng tiān shàng, zēng yì tiān zhòng.*

‘If that person’s life ends, they will mostly be reborn in the heavens, thereby increasing the number of beings in heaven.’

(20b) OU-Suv. VI. 5b. 412/ 14-17

**Qačan munta ät’öz qod-sar-lar üküš-i barča tñri yer-in-tä**  
 CONJ here.LOC life abandon-COND-3PL most-POSS.3 all paradise-POSS.3-LOC  
*tuy-up tñri quwray-ï aš-il-ur üstä-l-ür.*  
 reborn-CONV Buddha’s crowd-POSS.3 increase<sub>2</sub>-PASS-AOR.3SG  
 ‘If they abandon their lives here, most of them will be reborn in heaven and increase the size of the crowd of gods.’

In Buddhist Chinese texts, the conjunction 若 (*ruò*) ‘if’ is the most typical operator for conditional clauses. This conjunction evolved from a comparative marker into a conditional conjunction, similar to 假 (*jiǎ*) ‘if’ and 如 (*rú*) ‘if’, which exhibit a complementary distribution. Through the lexical mechanism of disyllabification, they can also form disyllabic conditional conjunctions, such as 假若 (*jiǎruò*) ‘if’ and 若如 (*ruòrú*) ‘if’.

In a parallel comparison with Old Uyghur translations, we observe that the conjunction *qaltï* ‘how, if’, used in conditional clauses, corresponds to these Chinese conditional conjunctions. The conjunction *qaltï* appears in two different types of adverbial clauses: with the postposition *täg* ‘like’ to introduce comparative clauses, and with the conditional marker *-sar* to encode conditional clauses. And the adverbial clauses in which it appears are precisely the syntactic types in which the aforementioned Chinese conjunctions underwent grammaticalization, as can be seen in examples (21) and (22).

Comparative clauses:

(21a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 425c12-13

若水中月行菩提行，我亦行菩提行。

*Ruò shuǐ zhōng yuè xíng pú tí xíng, wǒ yì xíng pú tí xíng.*

‘Just as the moon reflected in the water advances on the path of Bodhi, so do I advance on the path of Bodhi as well.’

(21b) OU-Suv. V. 19b.382/ 1-3

**Qaltï suv iç-in-tä-ki ay tñri bodi yoriq-ta yori-mış täg**  
 CONJ water inside-POSS-LOC-REL moon god Bodhi path-LOC practice-PTCP as  
*māniñ bodi yoriq-ta yori-maq-īm ančulayu oq tet-ir.*  
 I.GEN Bodhi path-LOC practice-VN-POSS.1SG that PTCL say-AOR.3SG  
 ‘Like the moon god in the water advancing on the path of Bodhi, my advance on the path of Bodhi is similar to that.’

Conditional clause:

(22a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 3: 417b22-23

若有講讀此妙經典流通之處，於其國中大臣輔相，有四種益。

*Ruò yǒu jiǎng dú cǐ miào jīng diǎn liú tōng zhī chù, yú qí guó zhōng dà chén fǔ xiāng, yǒu sì zhǒng yì.*

‘If there are places where this sutra is preached, read, and circulated, it will bring four kinds of benefits to the ministers and counselors of that country.’

(22b) OU-Suv. III. 35a.195/ 3-10

*Qaltī bo nom ārdini kayu kayu yer orun-ta keñürü yorī-yur*  
 CONJ this sutra jewel any place place-LOC widely spread-AOR  
*ār-sār, nomla-tači sözlä-täči tözün-lär qutluy-lar ymä bar*  
 COP-COND.3SG recite-PTCP worship-PTCL virtuous-PL blessed-PL also exist  
*ār-sār, ötrü ol yer orun-ta-qī bāg-lär-kä buyruq-lar-qa*  
 COP-COND.3SG then that place place-LOC-REL minister-PL-DAT commander-PL-DAT  
*īnanč-lar-qa tört törlüg uluy asiy tusu tet-ir.*  
 counselor-PL-DAT four kind great benefit<sub>2</sub> say-AOR.3SG

‘If this jewel of a sūtra is widely preached and recited and worshiped by believers in any place, the ministers and prime ministers of that region will receive four kinds of great benefits.’

The syntactic core of the corresponding forms mentioned above consists of the postposition *täg* or the conditional marker *-sAr*, while the conjunction *qaltī* is the most flexible syntactic constituent. The presence or absence of the latter does not affect the syntax or semantics of the constructions. Similar to the conjunction *birök* in conditional clauses, there is reason to believe that the Old Uyghur translators had a deep understanding of Chinese and were aware of the various functions of Chinese conditional conjunctions, using *qaltī* to correspond to them functionally. As *Suv.* indicates, *qaltī* mainly corresponds to conditional clauses of the 假 (*jiǎ*) ‘if’-type, as seen in (23), or sometimes to those of the 若 (*ruò*) ‘if’-type as well, as seen in (22). It can also co-occur with *birök* in syntactic structures without affecting the conditional interpretations, which seems to be an intentional choice by the Old Uyghur translators. In the context of language contact, *qaltī* is influenced by conjunctions such as 若 (*ruò*) and 假 (*jiǎ*). In specific syntactic environments, this influence further grammaticalizes *qaltī* into a conditional conjunction, leading to the formation of conditional clauses. In the following example, the co-occurrence of the conjunctions *qaltī* and *birök* corresponds to the Chinese conjunction 假使 (*jiǎshǐ*) ‘if’.

(23a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 9: 445a26-27

假使大火聚，滿百踰繕那；為聽此經王，直過無辭苦。

*Jiǎshǐ dà huǒ jù, mǎn bǎi yú shàn nà; wèi tīng cǐ jīng wáng, zhí guò wú cí kǔ.*

‘If the blazing fire were to accumulate and cover hundreds of *yojanas*, one would still face it directly without complaint in order to listen to or learn this important scripture.’

(23b) OU-Suv. IX. 8b. 584/13-16

*Qaltī birök bar ār-sār yalina-yu tur-ur oot yüg-māk yüz*  
 CONJ CONJ exist COP-COND.3SG blaze-CONV stand-PTCP fire accumulate-VN hundred  
*yočan yer iç-in-tä tolu töšä-p ur-miš täg äsit-gäli*  
*yojana place inside-POSS.3-LOC fullresist-CONV hit-PTCP as listen-CONV*  
*bol-yu ücün bo nom ārdini yörüg-i-n ārin-mätin bar-sun-lar*  
 COP-VN for this sutra jewel meaning-POSS.3-ACC be.lazy-NEG.CONV go-VOL-3PL  
*ačiy ämgäk te-mädin.*  
 bitter suffering say-NEG.CONV

‘If there is a gathering of burning fire, as if it will resist the place of hundreds of *yojanas*, then, in order to listen to the teachings of this sūtra jewel, let them go without laziness and without saying anything about bitter suffering.’

## 5 The correlative constructions

In this section, we will discuss the sentences in Old Uyghur that exhibit the syntactic surface structure of conditional clauses, but do not convey a conditional meaning. In Old Uyghur, the subject of these conditional clauses often features a pronominal phrase formed by the interrogative pronoun *kim* or the indefinite pronoun *kayu* combined with the noun *tīn(i)γ* ‘living beings’. The verb stem in the clause is typically suffixed with the conditional mood marker *-sAr*, or alternatively the copula form *ärsär* is used following a nominal predicate. Additionally, the subject of the main clause often contains a demonstrative pronoun structure that explicitly or implicitly refers to the subject of the subordinate clause, as illustrated in example (24). Erdal (2004: 499–504) refers to this syntactic structure as *correlative relativization*. He explains that there are two distinct uses of this structure: “(i) where the pronoun in the clause serves as a variable argument, and the content of the main clause applies to any value of that variable; (ii) where the variable has only a single value.” (Erdal 2004: 499–500)

(24a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 4: 422a3-4

若受持者，是人則為報諸佛恩。

*Ruò shòu chí zhě, shì rén zé wèi bào zhū fú ēn*

‘Anyone who receives and holds this sūtra, this being is thereby repaying the kindness of all Buddhas.’

(24b) OU-Suv. IV. 70b. 336/7-10

*Kim kayu tīnly-lar bo nom ärdini-g tut-sar-lar tägin-sär-lär*  
who any being-PL this sūtra treasure-ACC hold-COND-3PL receive-COND-3PL

*ol yalaŋoq-lar ötrü alqu burxan-lar-qa utli säwinč tägin-miš bol-yay-lar.*

that being-PL then all Buddha-PL-DAT gratitude devote-PTCP COP-OPT-3PL

‘Any beings who receive and hold this sūtra treasure, then those beings will devote gratitude to all Buddhas.’

Similarly, Chinese Buddhist scriptures contain a type of conditional sentence with a surface syntactic structure of 若... (*ruò*) or 若...者 (*ruò...zhě*), which also does not convey a conditional meaning. Based on a parallel comparison of the Sanskrit original and two Chinese versions of the *Saddharma-puṇḍarīka-sūtra* (法华经 (*Fǎ huá jīng*)), Nan Jiang (2011: 198, 2020: 69–73) points out that these sentence structures do not convey a conditional meaning, as scholars typically assume. Instead, they correspond to the function of the relative pronoun *yad*, which introduces relative clauses in the original Sanskrit text. She states (Jiang 2020:70): “In Sanskrit, the relative pronoun *yad* serves both a connective and a demonstrative function. It links the subordinate clause with the main clause introduced by the pronoun *tad*, forming a complex sentence with the structure *yad...*, *tad...*. Simultaneously, *yad* functions as a demonstrative word within the subordinate clause, modifying the noun that follows, akin to a definite article.” In Buddhist Chinese, 若 (*ruò*) can function as a second-person pronoun or as a demonstrative pronoun. Its semantic and syntactic functions closely resemble those of *yad*. The Chinese translators used the subject relativizer 者 (*zhě*) to render the relative clauses of the original Sanskrit, while *yad* was translated as 若 (*ruò*), resulting in the 若...者 (*ruò...zhě*) frame structure, as in example (24). The 若...者 (*ruò...zhě*) structure can also omit 者 (*zhě*), in which case 若 (*ruò*) serves as a restrictive demonstrative word, as illustrated by example (25). In the main clause, demonstrative

pronoun structures like 是人 (*shìrén*) ‘this being/ these beings’ or the universal quantifier adverbs 悉皆 (*xījiē*) or 皆 (*jiē*) ‘all of, entirely’ are employed to refer back to the subject.

(25a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 5: 426a24-25

若知法界不有不無，如是眾生能解深義。

*Ruò zhī fǎ jiè bù yǒu bù wú, rú shì zhòng shēng néng jiě shēn yì.*

‘Anyone who knows that the Dharma realm is neither existent nor nonexistent, such beings will be able to understand its profound meaning.’

(25b) OU-Suv. V. 23b. 390/6-10

*Kim-lār birök nom töz-i-n bar ymä är-mäz yoq ymä*  
 who-PL CONJ Dharma realm-POSS3-ACC existent or COP-NEG.AOR nonexistent or  
*är-mäz tep bil-sär ötrü ol antay yalañoq-lar bo*  
 COP-NEG.AOR QUO know-COND.3SG then that that.EUQ being-PL this  
*tütrüm täriñ nom töz-i-n bil-gäli uq-qalī u-yur-lar.*  
 profound<sub>2</sub> Dharma realm-POSS.3-ACC understand<sub>2</sub>-CONV be.able-AOR-3PL

‘Anyone who knows that the Dharma realm is neither existent nor nonexistent, then such beings will be able to understand its profound meaning.’

Based on the preceding discussion, it is evident that the correlative relativization structure in Old Uyghur closely resembles this type of complex sentence in Buddhist Chinese. Whether it represents a loan translation of the Chinese structure warrants further investigation. Specifically, the correspondence between these two syntactic structures can be summarized as follows: (1) The first layer corresponds to the strategy of the subject relativizer 者 (*zhě*) in the Chinese original. Old Uyghur translators used the relative pronouns *kim* or *kayu* to extract the subject, thereby forming right-branching analytical relative clauses, while using explicit or implicit correlative structures in the main clause to refer back, resulting in a relatively generalized form of relativization. (2) To maintain consistency with the Chinese structure, these two clauses of the correlative relativization structure are embedded within a typical frame of conditional structure {*birök ... -sAr*}, and an adverb *ötrü* is sometimes added at the beginning of the main clause, as seen in example (26). If our hypothesis is correct, this indicates that the Old Uyghur translators had a profound understanding of the language of Chinese Buddhist texts. It also suggests they may have consulted both the Chinese and Sanskrit sources in preparing their translations, and that the translation of Classical Chinese Buddhist texts likely further advanced the development and sophistication of written Old Uyghur.

(26a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 4: 422a5-6

若得聽聞是經典者，皆不退於阿耨多羅三藐三菩提。

*Ruò dé tīng wén shì jīng diǎn zhě, jiē bù tuì yú ā nòu duō luó sān miǎo sān pú tí.*

‘Whoever hears this scripture, they will all never regress from *Anuttara-samyak-saṃbodhi*.’

(26b) OU-Suv. IV. 70b. 337/16-22

*Birök kim kayu tīnly-lar bo nom ärdini-g boşyun-sar tut-sar oqı-sar*  
 CONJ who any being-PL this sūtra treasure-ACC learn-COND.3SG hold-COND.3SG read-COND.3SG  
*nomla-sar süzök kertgünč köñül-in tīnla-sar äšit-sär-lär ötrü*  
 preach-COND.3SG perfect sincerity-INS listen<sub>2</sub>-COND-3PL then  
*ol tīn(i)y-lar üzäliksiz üstünki yeg köni tüz tuymaq atly burxan qut-ın-ga*  
 that beings-PL unsurpassable supreme true and even Enlightenment Buddhahood-POSS.3-DAT  
*ayınč-siz äwrlinč-siz bol-yay-lar.*  
 regress<sub>2</sub>-NEG COP-OPT-3PL

‘If any beings (are ones) who learn, hold, read, preach, and hear, and listen to this sūtra treasure with perfect sincerity, then these beings will not regress from *Anuttara-samyak-saṃbodhi*.’

A similar syntactic construction is also found in Classical Tibetan. Tibetan translators consciously adopted it in their translation praxis in order to replicate the syntactic patterns of Sanskrit source texts, as exemplified by the following construction from the *Heart Sūtra* (*Prajñāpāramitā-hṛdaya Sūtra*). In (27c), the preceding clause constitutes a postposed relative clause, where the head noun is fronted to clause-initial position, marked by the relativizer *pa* after the clause-final verb. The matrix clause subsequently employs the demonstrative pronoun *de* ‘that’ to anaphorically reference the content of the preceding clause. Analyzing this through the lens of topic-comment articulation reveals that the inter-clausal relationship aligns with this pragmatic framework: the initial clause functions as the topical foundation, while the subsequent clause introduced by *de* provides thematic elaboration.

(27a) Skt-*Hṛdaya*. San long: Conze 1967: 150

**yaḥ** *kaścic* Chāriputra kulaputro vā kuladuhitā vā asyāṃ gambhirāyāṃ prajñāpāramitāyāṃ caryāṃ cartukāmas **tena**ivam vyavalokitavyam.

‘The son or daughter of good family who wants to course in the course of this deep Perfection of Wisdom (*Prajñāpāramitā*) should thus consider.’ (Conze 1973: 140)

(27b) Chi-*Hṛdaya*. T08, no. 255, p. 850c2-3

若善男子及善女人，欲修行甚深般若波羅蜜多者，彼應如是觀察。

**Ruò** shàn nán zǐ jí shàn nǚ rén, yù xiū xíng shēn shēn bō rě bō luó mì duō **zhě**, bǐ yīng rú shì guān chá.

‘If any son or daughter of a noble family (is one) who desires to cultivate this profound Perfection of Wisdom, he or she should thus consider.’

(27c) Tib-*Hṛdaya*. Kg 21, *shes phyin sna tshogs*, ka 145a4

<i>rīgs kyi bu</i> =’m	<i>rīgs kyi bu mo</i>	<i>gang la la</i>	<i>shes rab</i>	<i>kyi</i>	<i>pha rol</i>	<i>tu</i>
virtuous man=DISJ	virtuous woman	anyone	wisdom	GEN	the other shore	DAT
<i>phyan pa</i>	<i>zab mo</i> =’i	<i>spyod pa</i>	<i>spyad pa</i> =r	’dod= <b>pa</b>	<b>de</b> =s	
attain	profound=GEN	action	perform=LOC	desire=NOMN	that=ERG	
’di ltar	<i>rnam pa</i> =r	<i>blta ba</i> =r	<i>bya ste/</i>			
thus	complete=LOC	contemplate=LOC	do	SEMI-F.PTCL		

‘Any son or daughter of a noble family who desires to cultivate the profound Perfection of Wisdom, he or she should contemplate thus: ...’

Through a comparative analysis of Buddhist scripture translations in Sanskrit, Chinese, Tibetan, and Old Uyghur, it has become evident that translators adopted two distinct strategic orientations for handling Sanskrit textual structures. The Tibetan translations strictly adhered to the syntactic framework of Sanskrit, employing a mirrored correspondence pattern of preposed head nouns and postposed relative clauses delimited by the clause-final marker *pa*, which were anaphorically referenced through the pronoun *de* in main clauses. The Chinese translations demonstrated greater creative adaptability in reconstructing Sanskrit correlative structures: utilizing the relativizer 者 (*zhě*) to establish parallel constructions within a conditional framework 若...者 (*ruò...zhě*). This interpretive approach resonates cross-linguistically with Haiman’s (1978) theory that ‘conditionals are topics.’ Old Uyghur translations exhibit more complex, multi-textual referencing characteristics. Syntactically, they adopted Chinese-style methods, using the relative pronoun *kim* and participles to form subject-extracted clauses, and employed the conditional copula *ārsār* as a topic marker, while preserving the



Chinese conditional conjunction *birök* (若). This hybridity suggests that Old Uyghur translators likely consulted both Sanskrit and Chinese discursive frameworks.

Furthermore, Erdal (2004: 500–502) notes that similar syntactic structures appear in Manichaean and Qarakhanid texts, complicating the issue. If we maintain the assumption that correlative relativization constitutes a typological hallmark of Buddhist literature, this raises critical questions: Could Manichaean texts represent a selective replication (calquing) of Buddhist models, whereby Manichaean elites, positioned as a minority religious group, strategically adopted Buddhist linguistic frameworks to garner more adherents during periods of Buddhist socioreligious ascendancy? Similarly, the Qarakhanid cases might reflect linguistic replication through interreligious contact, potentially indicating the existence of cross-confessional knowledge exchange between Buddhists and Muslims at the discursive level. However, these remain tentative hypotheses, contingent upon the validity of correlative relativization being a Buddhist diagnostic feature, and they demand rigorous verification.

## 6 Concessive clauses in Old Uyghur

A concessive clause is one that makes a concession that is incompatible with or counter-expected. by the proposition in the main clause (see König 1988, König & Siemund 2000). Concessive clauses can be categorized into two types: definite and indefinite (Thompson, Longacre & Hwang 2007: 262). Definite concessive clauses are those simply marked by a concessive subordinator like ‘although’. In Buddhist Chinese, definite concessive clauses are relatively common. They are introduced by the conjunction 雖 (*suī*) ‘although, even though’ or its disyllabic form 雖復 (*suīfù*), while the main clause begins with adversative conjunctions 然 (*rán*) or 而 (*ér*) ‘but’, etc.<sup>17</sup> Indefinite concessive clauses convey meanings like ‘no matter what’ or ‘whatever’ and include unspecified elements, and they often employ indefinite pronouns or question words such as whoever, whatever, whenever, or wherever (Thompson, Longacre & J. Hwang 2007: 263). In Mandarin, indefinite concessive clauses are introduced by 無論 (*wúlùn*) or 不論 (*búlùn*) ‘no matter’, but such clauses are relatively infrequent in Buddhist Chinese.

Erdal (2004: 495) points out that there is no need to treat concessive clauses as a specific grammatical category in Old Uyghur due to the lack of explicit formal means to express this meaning. He suggests that the semantics of such concessions should be understood from the context, while also endorsing Tekin’s (1965: 49–50) treatment of *-sAr ymä* as an operator of concessive clauses. Moreover, a parallel comparison of the original Chinese and Old Uyghur reveals that, as with conditional clauses, main clauses in concessive relations can optionally include the conjunctions *inčip* ‘in doing so’, *yänä/yana* ‘and’, or *inčip yänä*. These conjunctions are functionally equivalent to the Buddhist Chinese adversative conjunctions 然 (*rán*) and 而 (*ér*) ‘but’, as is shown in the following examples (28) and (29).

(28a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 8: p. 443b3

食噉雖復多，不能令飽足。

*Shí dàn suīfù duō, bù néng lìng bǎo zú.*

‘Although much food is eaten, it cannot provide a sense of fullness.’

(28b) OU-Suv. VIII. 33b. 558/16-18

<i>Ye-sār</i>	<i>ymä</i>	<i>yänä</i>	<i>artoq-raq</i>	<i>aš ičgü-lär</i>
eat-COND.3SG	even	and	more-COM	food and drink-PL

<sup>17</sup> Following Li Wang et al. (2016: 101, 347), we use the term *adversative conjunction* (转折连词 *zhuǎnzhe liáncí*).

*tatīq-i-n čivāk-i-n bökür-ü bol-maz-lar.*  
 nutrition-POSS.3-INS satiate-CONV COP-NEG.AOR-3PL  
 ‘Even if they eat lots of food, they still can’t feel full through the food’s nutrition.’

(29a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 4: 422a28-b1

雖說種種諸法，然於言辭中不動不住、不去不來，能於生滅證無生滅。  
*Suī shuō zhǒng zhǒng zhū fǎ, rán yú yán cí zhōng bù dòng bú zhù, bù qù bù lái, néng yú shēng miè zhèng wú shēng miè.*  
 ‘Although various kinds of Dharmas are spoken, in language and words, they neither move nor remain static, nor do they come or go. One can prove the state of no birth and no decay amidst birth and decay.’

(29b) OU-Suv. IV.72a. 339/18-22

*Adroq adroq nom-lar-iy nomla-sar-lar ymä inčip ol sav-tä söz-dä*  
 kinds of sutra-PL-ACC declare-COND-3PL even but that word<sub>2</sub>-LOC  
*täprä-mädin ornan-mädin käl-mäk-siz-in bar-maq-siz-in tuy-maq öč-mäk*  
 move-NEG.CONV locate-NEG.CONV come-VN-NEG-INS go-VN-NEG-INS arise-VN cease-VN  
*nom-lar-ta tuy-maq-siz-iy öč-mäk-siz-ig tanuqla-yur-lar.*  
 sutra-PL-LOC arise-VN-NEG-ACC cease-VN-NEG-ACC prove-AOR-3PL  
 ‘Even if they expound (many) kinds of Dharmas, in language and words, they neither move nor remain, nor do they come or go, they prove the non-arising and non-ceasing nature within the Dharma of birth and extinction.’

The above examples illustrate the correspondence in surface syntactic structures between concessive clauses in Buddhist Chinese and Old Uyghur. The Buddhist Chinese conjunctions 雖 (*suī*) and 雖復 (*suīfù*) correspond functionally to the Old Uyghur concessive structure *-sAr ymä*. Furthermore, the main clause in the Old Uyghur translation begins with *inčip yänä* or *inčip*, which is equivalent to the adversative conjunctions in Buddhist Chinese. This phenomenon indicates that, under the influence of Chinese, the Old Uyghur strategy for linking concessive and main clauses exhibited a notable shift toward a double-marking strategy.

## 7 Language contact between Buddhist Chinese and Old Uyghur

Buddhist Chinese represents the first large-scale contact between Chinese and foreign languages, and it culminated in a distinctive amalgamation of spoken and written forms, as well as a fusion between Chinese and the languages of the original Buddhist texts, such as Sanskrit. It also served as a source language for the translation of Buddhist scriptures into Old Uyghur. Analyzing the Buddhist written language in Old Uyghur from the perspective of Buddhist Chinese provides considerable scholarly insight, as this article discusses.

The Turkic language of the period of the Runiform inscriptions exhibits a comparatively low frequency of conjunctions in subordinate clauses. This linguistic feature differs markedly from the abundant use of conjunctions in Chinese Buddhist scriptures. In Chinese, these conjunctions appear in either monosyllabic or disyllabic forms. For instance, the Sanskrit conditional conjunction *yadi* ‘if’ (Jakob S. Speijer 1998: 372–374) may correspond to monosyllabic Chinese conjunctions such as 若 (*ruò*), 如 (*rú*), 设 (*shè*), or to disyllabic forms like 若如 (*ruòrú*), 假若 (*jiǎrú*), 设如 (*shèrú*), and 设若 (*shèruò*), all of which mean ‘if’, though they differ in their phonetic forms. Furthermore, Chinese complex sentences tend to utilize a double-marking strategy, where subordinate clauses and main clauses are marked by distinct syntactic markers. Therefore, when translating these texts into Old Uyghur,

the translators encountered the challenge of formulating a translation mechanism that, leveraging their knowledge of Old Uyghur, would effectively integrate the Chinese syntactic structures into the translated text. This challenge is evident in the Old Uyghur translations, where the Chinese conditional conjunction 若 (*ruò*) is translated as *birök*, *qaltı birök*, or *qačan birök*, while 假使 (*jiǎshǐ*), 设若 (*shèruò*) correspond to *qaltı birök*, etc.<sup>18</sup> Additionally, fixed corresponding markers were also employed in the main clauses.

As Johanson (2002: 9–11) notes, in the context of language contact, languages can develop innovative rules, and certain elements from one language can be replicated in another. One language serves as the base code, while components – such as units or structures – from the contact language are replicated to form a model code. We refer to the base code as language A, and the language from which components are borrowed as language B. This replication process can involve either *global replication* or *selective replication*. In global replication, structural features of language B – such as materials, combinations, semantics, or frequencies – are transferred into language A in their entirety. Selective replication, in contrast, necessitates adapting the copied components to fit the semantic framework of language A, ensuring that the borrowed elements align with the corresponding components of language A, while minimizing structural conflicts between the two systems.

This replication exists not only at the lexical level, but also at the syntactic level. For example, as previously discussed above, the grammaticalization of conditional conjunctions, such as those of the 若 (*ruò*) type in Buddhist Chinese, influenced the development of the Old Uyghur conjunction *qaltı*. In response to the Chinese conditional circumconstruction 若...时 (*ruò...shí*) ‘if’, Old Uyghur translators made adjustments to the Old Uyghur base code, resulting in the corresponding structure *qačan birök ...-sAr*. Another example can be seen in the following:

(30a) Chi-Suv. T16, no. 665, 卷 8: 439c13–14

若人誦持如是神呪請召我時，我聞請已，即至其所，令願得遂。

*Ruò rén sòng chí rú shì shén zhòu qǐng zhào wǒ shí*, *wǒ wén qǐng yǐ, jí zhì qí suǒ, lìng yuàn dé suì*.

‘If someone chants this holy *dhāraṇī* to summon me, when I hear the summoning, I will immediately come to that place and grant their wish.’

(30b) OU-Suv. VIII. 14a. 523/13–19

<b>Birök</b>	<i>kim</i>	<i>kayu</i>	<i>kiši</i>	<i>bo</i>	<i>qutluy</i>	<i>drn-iy</i>	<i>sözlä-yü</i>	<i>meni</i>
CONJ	who	any	person	this	holy	<i>dhāraṇī</i> -ACC	chant-CONV	I.ACC
<i>oqı-yu</i>		<i>tägin-miš-tä</i>	<i>m(ä)n</i>	<i>ötrü</i>	<i>olar-niñ</i>	<i>oqı-yu</i>		
summon-CONV	AUX-PTCP-LOC	I		then	they-GEN	summon-CONV		
<i>ötün-miš</i>	<i>ötüg-lär-i-n</i>		<i>äsit-ip</i>	<i>olar-qa</i>	<i>yaqın</i>			
wish-PTCP	summon-PL-POSS.3-ACC		hear-CONV	they-DAT	close			
<i>bar-ip</i>	<i>küsä-miš</i>	<i>küsüš-lär-i-n</i>		<i>qan-tur-yay-mn.</i>				
go-CONV	wish-PTCP	wish-PL-POSS3-ACC		grant-CAUS-OPT-1SG				

‘If any person recites this sacred *dhāraṇī* and summons me, then upon hearing their prayers, I will come close to them and fulfill their wishes.’

<sup>18</sup> With a large number of semantically similar disyllabic words in the original Chinese Buddhist texts, Old Uyghur translators also opted for *hendiadys* arranged in parallel and with similar semantics. This phenomenon of disyllabization is present in both substantive and function words in Chinese Buddhist texts. For example, 因緣 (*yīnyuán*) ‘causes and conditions’ is rendered as *avant tıltaq*, 利益 (*lìyì*) ‘benefits’ as *asıy tusu*, 清淨 (*qīngjìng*) ‘pure and clean’ as *arıy süzök*, 隱沒 (*yǐnmò*) ‘hidden and disappearing’ as *yitlin- yoqad-*, 增益 (*zēngyì*) ‘tone up’ as *aš- üstä-*, and 種種 (*zhǒngzhǒng*) ‘kind of’ as *adroq adroq* in Old Uyghur. Some scholars have noted this phenomenon in Old Uyghur, but whether the occurrence of these frequently used *hendiadys* is related to the disyllabization of Buddhist Chinese words remains to be further investigated, see Çağatay (1944), Ölmez (1998, 2017).

In the Chinese original text of example (29), the 时(*shí*) had already undergone grammaticalization from a temporal marker to a postpositional conditional particle. This formed a circumconditional construction 若...时 (*ruò...shí*) ‘if’ in combination with the conjunction 若 (*ruò*). In the parallel Old Uyghur translation, the translator attempted to render this using a *correlative relativization*. However, instead of translating the postpositional conditional particle 时 (*shí*) with the commonly used *birök* ...-*sAr* construction, the translator rendered it as the temporal converb -*mšDA* combined with the conditional conjunction *birök*. Examining the Old Uyghur translation independently, without reference to the original Chinese text, reveals that it still forms a valid temporal adverbial clause. However, when prioritizing accuracy in relation to the Chinese original, it becomes apparent that the Old Uyghur translation does not fully conform to the syntactic rules of the correlative relativization structure. From these two perspectives, we can conclude that, although the Old Uyghur translator attempted to replicate the structure of the Chinese original, the adjustments made to the Old Uyghur linguistic code were not entirely suited for the purpose.

## 8 Conclusions and Remarks

Scholars in the field of Old Uyghur studies have mainly focused on lexical borrowings resulting from contact between Old Uyghur and other languages such as Tokharian, Sogdian, and Sanskrit. However, syntactic influences arising from such contact have received less attention. Despite being one of the source languages for the translation of Old Uyghur Buddhist texts, Chinese has received little scholarly attention in this regard. Therefore, this study has aimed to illustrate the correspondences between conditional clauses in Old Uyghur and those in the original Chinese texts through parallel comparison. It primarily explores the syntactic structures of conditional clauses, semantic comparisons, and the influence of language contact between these two languages.

When examining conditional clauses in Old Uyghur translations of Chinese Buddhist texts, it becomes evident that the conditional mood marker -*sAr* is the core syntactic means for encoding conditional clauses in Old Uyghur, while the use of conditional conjunctions is not obligatory. However, the usage of conditional conjunctions became more frequent and varied as Old Uyghur developed. Conjunctions such as *birök*, *qačan* and *qaltı*, used independently or in combination, formed conjunction pairs that, together with the conditional mood marker, created a circumstructure for introducing conditional clauses which functionally corresponds to different phonetic forms of Chinese conditional conjunctions. Additionally, the markers used in the main clauses became increasingly stable and standardized, with the use of sequential adverbs, such as *ötrü*, that correspond to markers in the original Chinese texts such as 则 (*zé*) and 即 (*jí*).

In terms of semantics, since the original Chinese text mostly expresses realistic assumptions, the main clause predicates in Old Uyghur are primarily marked by the aorist suffixes -(*V*)*r*/-*yUr*, indicating that the proposition in the main clause will definitely be realized. The optative -*GAy* is used to express the speaker’s hope that the proposition in the main clause will be realized. The use of participles along with the conditional copula *ärsär* to encode realistic conditional clauses is relatively limited. This may be influenced by the syntactic mechanisms of Chinese, where realistic conditionals are not encoded through tense markers. However, the encoding of irrealis and counterfactual still uses the inherent syntactic means of Old Uyghur. In addition to being predominantly declarative, the main clause can also express a variety of moods, such as imperative, interrogative, necessitative, and optative, which are encoded through different syntactic devices.

Given the multilingual community and historical environment inhabited by the Old Uyghurs, this provides us with some material for reflection. In the early stages of translating Buddhist literature, the Uyghurs basically developed a primitive written Buddhist language. Later, as more translations of Buddhist scriptures were produced, the Uyghurs continuously revised the written language system,

standardizing specific forms used for fundamental linguistic correspondences. At the same time, they made efforts to create atypical markers within the existing system to address the challenges of translating the original texts. This ultimately led to the complexity observed in the written Old Uyghur Buddhist language.

In this ongoing process of development, the translation of original Chinese texts may have contributed to the further grammaticalization of some conjunctions in Old Uyghur conditional clauses and the stabilization of their syntactic structures. Through parallel comparisons across different texts, we propose that the temporal interrogative *qačan*, which initially contained an ambiguity between tense and condition, has largely been neutralized in Old Uyghur translations of Chinese Buddhist text and become a conditional conjunction through grammaticalization. Additionally, the conditional conjunction *qaltı* has undergone grammaticalization, evolving from a comparative marker to a conditional marker, which aligns with the grammaticalization path of the 若 (*ruò*)-type Chinese conditional conjunctions. Furthermore, the recurring correlative relativization in Old Uyghur translations represents a replication of the Chinese correlative relativization 若...者 (*ruò zhě*), 是人... (*shì rén*), which itself is influenced by the Sanskrit *yad ... tad ...* construction, leading to a corresponding form. Old Uyghur translators used *kim* along with indefinite pronouns as a correlate of the Chinese subject relativizer 者 (*zhě*), and matched *ol tīn(i)γ/ol yalaŋuq* with the anaphoric phrase 是人 (*shì rén*) ‘this person/ these persons’ in Chinese main clauses. This was then embedded into the *birök ...-sAr* conditional framing structure, which corresponds to the surface structure of 若...者 (*ruò zhě*) in Chinese, ultimately forming the correlative relativization. Finally, we suggest that the double-marking strategy employed in Chinese for encoding complex sentences, in which subordinate clauses and main clauses are severally marked by different syntactic components, has also influenced the Old Uyghur encoding strategy for complex sentences. For example, in Old Uyghur, conditional clauses such as {*birök ...-sAr*, *ötürü ...*}, concessive clauses {*-sAr ymä*, *inčip (yänä) ...*}, and causal clauses {*-mİštIn/-mİš üčün*, *anīn/anī üčün ...*} display a clear tendency towards an analytical structural approach.

From this study, it becomes evident that there is a perfect correspondence between certain constructions in the conditional clauses of the Old Uyghur and Chinese languages. On the one hand, we do not deny the fact that subordinate conjunctions were already used in conditional clauses in early East Old Turkic (traditionally known as Old Turkic or Orkhun Turkic) and early Old Uyghur texts. On the other hand, conjunctions were used far less frequently than in Old Uyghur translations of Chinese Buddhist texts, where they play a significant syntactic role and have a greater diversity of types. Historically, the Old Uyghur people have had close contact with the Chinese, and this contact intensified following their westward migration from the Mongolian Plateau to the western region of China. As the Old Uyghur people lived in a multilingual community where the Chinese language had a strong influence, it is unlikely that the Chinese language had no impact on Old Uyghur. We believe that contact with Chinese led to further adaptive code-switching in the Old Uyghur written language, causing it to align more closely with the linguistic characteristics of Chinese. Based on the linguistic phenomena and historical facts described above, we propose the hypothesis of syntactic-level Chinese influence on Old Uyghur in the hope of further deepening our understanding of the Old Uyghur language.

## Acknowledgments

Erđan Ye acknowledges the financial support of the *Shuimu* Postdoctoral Program of Tsinghua University (No. 2023660408) for his work on this article. This research is part of the ongoing project titled Uyghur Philology (No. 024JXZ006), funded by the Chinese Academy of History. We gratefully appreciate the constructive feedback provided by the reviewers, which has been instrumental in revising the manuscript. The responsibility for any remaining shortcomings lies solely with the authors.

Abbreviations

1	first person	LOC	locative
2	second person	NEG	negation
3	third person	NOMN	nominalizer
ABL	ablative	NEG.CONV	negative converb
ACC	accusative	OPT	optative
AOR	aorist	PASS	passive
AUX	auxiliary verb	PL	plural
CAUS	causative	POSS	possessive
COM	comparative	PRES	present tense
COND	conditional	PST	past tense
CONJ	conjunction	PTCL	particle
CONV	converb	PTCP	participle
COP	copular	Q	question particle
DAT	dative	QUO	quotation particle <i>tep</i> ‘saying’
DISJ	disjunctive conjunction	REL	relational marker + <i>ki</i>
EQU	equative	SG	singular
GEN	genitive	SEMI-F.PTCL	semi-final particle
IMP	imperative	VN	verbal noun
INS	instrumental	VOL	voluntative

References

Ayup, Tuerxun & Shayiti, Maitireyimu 2001. 回鹘文《金光明经》（维吾尔语）（Huígǔwén jīn guāng míng jīng: wéiwúěr yǔ）[*The Golden Light in Old Uyghur: Modern Uyghur version*]. Urumqi: Xinjiang People’s Publishing House.

Cao, Guoan 1996. “时”可表假设（‘Shí’ kě biǎo jiǎshè）[The Shi could Express Conditional]. *Research in Ancient Chinese Language*. 01: 82–83.

Clauson, Sir Gerard 1972. *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth Century Turkish*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Conze, Edward 1967. The Prajñāpāramitā-Hṛdaya Sūtra. In: *Thirty Years of Buddhist Studies: Selected Essays*. Oxford: Bruno Cassirer. 148-167. (Originally published in: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1948, pp. 33–51.)

——— 1973. *Perfect Wisdom: The Short Prajñāpāramitā Texts*. Luzac & Co Ltd, London: Buddhist Publishing Group.

Cristofaro, Sonia 2005. *Subordination*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Çağatay, Saadet 1944. Uygurcada Hendiadyoinler. *Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi yıllık çalışmaları dergisi* 1. 1940-1941. 01: 97–144. (Reprinted in Türk Lehçeleri Üzerine Denemeler, Ankara 1978. 29–66).

Muller, A. Charles (ed). *Digital Dictionary of Buddhism*. <http://buddhism-dict.net/ddb>. Edition of 4/30/2025.

Dong, Xiufang 2000. 论“时”字的语法化 (Lùn ‘shí’ zì de yǔfǎ huà) [The Grammaticalization of shí]. *Journal of Beibu Gulf University*. 15: 48–54.

Erdal, Marcel 2004. *A Grammar of Old Turkic*. Leiden: Brill.

Gabain, Annemarie von 1950. *Alttürkische Grammatik*. 2. Aufl. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Geng, Shimin 2008. 回鹘文哈密本《弥勒会见记》研究（Huígǔwén hāmì běn mí lè huì jiàn jì yánjiū）[*A Study of the Maitrisimit: Hami version*]. Beijing: Minzu University Press.

Givón, Talmy 1990. *Syntax 2: A Functional-Typological Introduction*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Gu, Feng & Li, Fanxi 2019. 汉译佛经让步条件句中“假使”类连词功能再析（Hànyì fó jīng ràng bù tiáo jiàn jù zhōng ‘jiǎshǐ’ lèi lián cí gōng néng zài xī）[The Role of the Hypothetical Connectives in Concessive Conditionals in Chinese Buddhist Scriptures]. *Bulletin of linguistic study*. 02:148–162+428.

Jiang, Lansheng 2002. 时间词“时”和“后”的语法化（Shíjiān cí ‘shí’ ‘hòu’ de yǔfǎhuà）[The Grammaticalization of the Temporal Words shi and hou]. *Studies of the Chinese Language*. 04: 291–301.

- Jiang, Nan 2020. 再探指示代词“那”的来源——来自汉译佛经的证据 (Zài tàn zhǐshì dàicí ‘nà’ de láiyuan: lái zì hàn yì fójīng de zhèngjù) [Further Exploration on the Origin of the Demonstrative Pronoun Na (那): Evidence from Chinese Translation of Buddhist Scriptures]. *Research in Ancient Chinese Language*. 02: 68-73, 127.
- 2011. 基于梵汉对勘的《法华经》语法研究 (Jī yú fàn hàn duìkān de fǎ huá jīng yǔ fǎ yánjiū) [A Sanskrit-Chinese Comparative Collation Study of the *Saddharma Puṇḍārika Sūtra Grammar*]. Beijing: The Commercial Press.
- Johanson, Lars 2002. *Structural Factors in Turkic Language Contacts*. London: Curzon.
- 2021. *Turkic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 2022. The Structure of Turkic. In: Johanson, L. & Csátó, Éva (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. New York & London: Routledge. 26–59.
- Jumabay, Uldanay 2022. *Clause Combining Strategies in Kazakh as Spoken in China in COMPARATIVE perspectives*. PhD Dissertation. Frankfurt: Goethe University Frankfurt.
- Kara, Georg & Zieme, Peter 1977. *Die uigurischen Übersetzungen des Guruyogas „Tiefer Weg“ von Sa-skya Paṇḍita und der Mañjuśrīnāmasaṃgīti (BTT VIII)*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag. 1977.
- LaPolla, Randy J. 1990. *Grammatical Relations in Chinese: Synchronic and Diachronic Considerations*. PhD dissertation. Berkeley: University of California.
- 1993. Arguments Against ‘Subject’ and ‘Direct object’ as Viable Concepts in Chinese. *Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology*. 63: 759–813.
- Li, Bohan 2021. 佛經翻譯對“時”的語法化之影響 (Fújīng fānyì duì ‘shí’ de yǔfǎhuà zhī yǐngxiǎng) [The Influence of Buddhist Scripture Translation on the Grammaticalization of Shi ‘時’]. *Journal of Chinese Language History*. 01:123–133.
- 2023. 基于梵汉对勘的《无量寿经》语法研究 (Jī yú fàn hàn duìkān de ‘wú liàng shòu jīng’ yǔfǎ yánjiū) [A Sanskrit-Chinese Comparative Collation Study of the Larger Sukhāvatīvyūha Sūtra Grammar]. Shanghai: Zhongxi Press.
- Li, C. N., & Thompson, S. A. 1976. Subject and Topic: A New Typology of Language. In: Li, C. N. (ed.) *Subject and Topic*. New York: Academic Press. 45–90.
- Li, Jingwei & Jin, Shangyi & Yan, Xiuping 2003. 高昌回鹘文献语言研究 (Gāochāng huígū wénxiàn yǔyán yánjiū) [Research on the Language of the Old Uyghur Literature of Kocho]. Urumqi: Xinjiang University Press.
- Oda, Juten 2015. *A Study of the Buddhist Sūtra called Säkiz Yükmäk Yaruq or Säkiz Törlügin Yarumış Yaltrimış in Old Turkic (BT XXXIII)*. Turnhout (Belgium): Brepols Publishers.
- Ölmez, Mehmet 1998. Eski Uygurca odug sak ikilemesi Üzerine. *Türk Dilleri Araştırmaları*. 08:35–47.
- 2017. Eski Uygurca ikilemeler Üzerine. *Türk Dili Araştırmaları Yıllığı – Belleten*, 65(2):243–311.
- Pulleyblank, Edwin G. 1991. *Lexicon of Reconstructed Pronunciation in Early Middle Chinese, Late Middle Chinese, and Early Mandarin*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Speijer, Jakob S. 1998. *Sanskrit Syntax (Reprint)*. Delhi: Shri Jainendra Press.
- Thompson, Sandra & Longacre, Robert 1985. Adverbial Clauses. In: Shopen, Timothy (ed.) *Language Typology and Syntactic Description 2. Complex Constructions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 237–300.
- Traugott, Elizabeth Closs 1985. Conditional markers. In: Haiman, J. (eds.) *Iconicity in Syntax: Proceedings of a Symposium on Iconicity in Syntax*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 289–307.
- Wang, Li & Cen, Qixiang, & Lin, Dao, eds. 2016. 古汉语常用字字典 (Gǔ hànyǔ chángyòng zì zìdiǎn) [A Dictionary of Frequently Used Characters in Classical Chinese (5th ed.)]. Revised by Jiang, Shaoyu & Tang, Zuofan. Beijing: The Commercial Press.
- Wilkens, Jens 2016. *Buddhistische Erzählungen aus dem Alten Zentralasien: Edition der altuigurischen Daśakarmapathā-vadānamālā. 3 volumes (BTT XXXVI)*. Turnhout: Brepols Publishers.
- 2021. *Handwörterbuch des Altuigurischen: Altuigurisch-Deutsch-Türkisch*. Göttingen: Universitätsverlag.
- Xiufang, Dong 2004. 從話題結構到複句結構：以“者”和“所”的功能演變為例 (Cóng huàtí jiégòu dào fùjù jiégòu: yǐ ‘zhě’ hé ‘suǒ’ de gōngnéng yǎnbiàn wèilì) [From Topic Structure to Complex Sentence Structure: Taking the Functional Evolution of Zhe and Suo as an Example]. In: Takashima, Ken-ichi & Shaoyu Jiang (eds.) 意義與形式——古代漢語語法論文集 (yìyì yǔ xíngshì – gǔdài hànyǔ yǔfǎ lùn wénjí) [Meaning and Form: Essays in Pre-Modern Chinese Grammar]. München: LINCOM Europa. 291–304.
- Xu, Chaohong 2008. 中古汉译佛经连词研究——以本缘部连词为例 (Zhōng gǔ hàn yì fójīng liáncí yánjiū — yǐ běn yuánbù liáncí wèilì) [A Study of the Conjunction in Medieval Buddhist Hybrid Chinese: Instancing the Conjunction in Benyuanbu]. PhD dissertation. Changsha: Hunan Normal University.
- Yakup, Abdurishid 2010. *Prajñāpāramitā Literature in Old Uyghur (BTT XXVIII)*. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Zieme, Peter 2000. *Vimalakīrtinirdeśasūtra: Edition alttürkischer Übersetzungen nach Handschriftfragmenten von Berlin und Kyoto (BTT XX)*. Turnhout: Brepols.